

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 EARL ROSE,) CASE NO. ED CV 14-487-VBF (PJW)
11)
12 Petitioner,) ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND
13) ADOPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS,
14 v.) AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED
15) STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE, AND
16) DENYING CERTIFICATE OF
17) APPEALABILITY
18 Respondent.)
19 _____)

20 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 636, the Court has reviewed the
21 Petition, records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of the
22 United States Magistrate Judge. Further, the Court has engaged in a
23 *de novo* review of those portions of the Report to which Petitioner has
24 objected. The Court accepts the Report and adopts the findings,
25 conclusions, and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge.

26 Further, for the reasons stated in the Report and
27 Recommendation, the Court finds that Petitioner has not made a
28 substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right and,
therefore, a certificate of appealability is denied. See 28 U.S.C.

1 § 2253(c)(2); Fed. R. App. P. 22(b); *Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S.
2 322, 336 (2003).

3
4 Date: June 1, 2020

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Valerie Baker Fairbank". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above a horizontal line.

5 VALERIE BAKER FAIRBANK
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28